

# FOREST ECOSYSTEM SERVICES AND DRIVERS OF DEFORESTATION IN YAYU COFFEE FOREST BIOSPHERE RESERVE, SOUTHWEST ETHIOPIA

By

## Ferede Abuye Jeldu PhD Candidate at Hawassa University, Ethiopia

03 – 07 July 2023 NAIROBI, KENYA



# Introduction and rational for the study

- Tropical forest host the richest terrestrial biodiversity, provide local and global benefits.
- Worldwide, several billion people are depends on biodiversity for their livelihoods.
- 31 % (4.06 billion ha)- covered by forests (IPCC, 2022).
- Absorb 15.6 billion tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> every year.
- However, a loss of tropical forests has a significant effects for GHGs concentrations (IPCC, 2022).
- ✓ 1990– 2020 period > 420 Mha lost,
- ✓ > 90% in tropical areas.
- ✓>15 billion trees cut down annually,
- $\checkmark$  > 2,400 trees/minutes are cut down (FAO, 2020).

# Introduction....



- Previous findings argue that REDD+ will not be effectively implemented until the understanding the known drivers of deforestation (FAO, 2020).
- Therefore, understanding the driving forces of deforestation is the 1<sup>st</sup> step for ecosystem conservation and forest management.
- However, there is lack of studies on the assessment of ecosystem services and drivers of deforestation.
- Therefore, this study aims to assess levels of biodiversity, carbon, and examining biodiversity contributions for above-ground carbon.



# **Objectives of the study**

- 1) To assess woody species diversity across zonation;
- 2) To assess level of carbon stock variation across zonation
- 3) To examine the relationship between biodiversity and above-ground carbon
- 4) To identify the drivers of deforestations and its solutions in the Yayu Coffee
- Forest Biosphere Reserve





# **Data Collection Methodology and Analysis**

- This study was conducted at YCFBR, Southwest Ethiopia.
- Located at 582 Km in Southwest of Addis Ababa.
- The biosphere covers six districts.
- Geographically, it lies between latitude 8° 0'42" to 8°44'23" N and longitude 35°20'31" to 36°18'20" E.
   Yayu Biosphere Reserve
- Registered in 2010 as BR.
- Total area 167,021 ha
- Classified in to 3 zones:

5 July 2023

- Core (27,733ha)-Allowed management and research do not affect natural processes and wildlife-
- o kept free from human pressures
- Buffer (21,552ha) Recreation, tourism, fishing and permitted to a limited extent
- Transitional zone (117,736ha)- Zone of cooperation (conservation, knowledge and management skills (settlement, crop land, etc.) are applied together).



*Figure 1:* Map of study area (Yayu Coffee Forest Biosphere Reserve) Source: http://ethio.geoportal.org, accessed 08 March 2022.



#### Sampling design:

ican Forest

- □ In forest inventory, stratified systematic sampling with a random start is a commonly used sampling design (UNFCCC, 2015) and this approach also used for this study.
- □ The Common plot size for biodiversity and C stock assessment are 200 m2, 400m2, & 500m2,
- ✓ but any size can possibly be used (UNFCCC, 2015).
- Using this standard range-
- $\geq$  <u>20 m × 20 m</u> for core and buffer zone, &
- 30 m x 30 m- for transitional Based on expected density of woody sps in each zonation.







✓ WD obtained from EFRL (EFRL, 2017).

Trait data:

SLA, seed size, & PHm from TRY database (www.try-db.org).



#### **Statistical analysis**

#### **Objective 1.**

To assess woody species diversity across zonation: FD indices calculated -FDiversity software.

-Spearman correlation- r/ships of FD with species diversity, disturbance & topographic factors.

-polynomial regression model -patterns of FD along disturbance & richness.

Mixed effects M- fixed factors (disturbance and environmental variables) on FD (response). **Objective 2.** 

#### Level of carbon stock variation across zonation

5 July 2023

**ANOVA** were used to compare carbon pools among 3 zones - SOC across depth wise (0-30 cm and 30-0cm).

3. Examining the R/ships between Biodiversity & aboveground carbon.

#### Structural Equation Models (SEMs):

- full mediation-diversity fully transmitted through FD&FDom
- partial mediation-test direct & indirect diversity effects through FD & FDomin



Figure 3: Hypothetical framework for direct & indirect effects of disturbance sps diversity & AGC & sps diversity on AGC through FD and CWM Sources: Adopted and modified from (Mensah et al., 2021). Where: FD= functional diversity for: FDp, FRic,, FEve, FDiv, FDis, and CWM= Community weight mean or functional dominance for: CWM..WD, CWM.SLA, CWM.Hmax and CWM.SM



## Main findings 1. Levels of Woody Species Diversity Across Zonation of Yayu Coffee Forest Biosphere Reserve

**Table 1:** Diversity information across forest zonation of YCFBR.

**Table 2:** Multiple comparisons for species richness acrosszonation using LSD at 95% confidence interval

Zonation	species Genus	Genus	Number of		Density Zanatian tamas (i)		Zenetics terror (I)	Mean	Std Emmon	95% confidence interval (95%CI)	
	species	Genus	Tumber of	BA (m <sup>2</sup> /ha)	na)	Zonation types (1)	Zonation types (J)	difference (i-j)	Stu.EI101	Lower bound	Upper bound
	richness (S)	number	Family		(individuals/ha)	Core zone	Buffer zone	1.705*	0.793	0.13	3.28
Core zone	54	45	30	78.5	664		Transitional zone	5.425*	0.793	3.85	7.00
Buffer zone	44	40	24	72.5	597	Buffer zone	Core zone	-1.705*	0.793	-3.28	-0.13
	10	10	21	, 2.5	500		Transitional zone	3.720*	0.880	1.97	5.47
Transitional zone	43	37	24	38.3	500	Transitional zone	Core zone	-5.425*	0.793	-7.00	-3.85
Total	83	67	42	65.3	601		Buffer zone	-3.720*	0.880	-5.47	-1.97

**Table 3:** Spearman correlation coefficients betweenzonation, disturbance, species richness and BA

			Species	
Variables			richness	Basal
variables		Disturbance	across	area
	Zonation	level	zonation	(BA)
Zonation	1			
Disturbance level	0.889**	1		
Species richness across zonation	-0.581**	-0.473**	1	
Basal area (BA)	-0.363**	-0.270*	0.547**	1

5 July 2023



Woody Species Diversity Across Zonation......



9





## Patterns of FD along disturbance levels in the YCFBR



5 July 2023 Figure 4: Patterns of FD along disturbance levels in the YCFBR

10



#### Effects of disturbance and topographic factors on FD

**Table3**: Spearman correlation coefficients between functional diversity indices and environmental factors.

	FDp	FRic	FEve	FDiv	FDis	CWM WD	CWM SLA	CWM	CWM SM
								Hmax	
Disturbanc	-0.46***	-0.54***	-0.51***	-0.44***	-0.70***	0.11	0.61***	-0.75***	0.81***
Slope	0.19	0.20	0.03	0.15	0.25*	0.10	-0.00	0.11	-0.12
Aspect	0.00	-0.02	0.02	0.09	-0.02	0.13	0.01	-0.03	-0.02
Elevation	-0.44***	-0.49***	-0.34**	-0.43***	-0.52***	-0.016	0.39***	-0.44***	0.52***

#### Table 4: Mixed effects model

Functional	Effort	Estimata	đf	SE	Dyeluo
diversity component	Effect	Estimate	u.1.	SE	r value
EDa	Aspect	0.015	81.615	0.007	0.0288 *
ЧЧ	Disturbance	2.311	83.147	0.565	9.84e <sup>-05</sup> ***
FRic	Disturbance	1.400	83.104	0.520	0.00859 **
EE	Aspect	0.001	82.947	0.0004	0.02651 *
ГЕЛЕ	disturbance	0.069	16.181	0.029	0.03121 *
FDiv	Aspect	0.002	82.960	0.0007	0.047444 *
FDis	disturbance	0.277	83.05	0.032	2.38e <sup>-13</sup> ***
CWM WD	disturbance	-0.079	83.928	0.030	0.0105 *
CWM Hmax	Disturbance	1.541	74.251	0.758	0.04555 *
CWM SM	Disturbance	-2.196	83.033	0.144	< 2e <sup>-16</sup> ***

5 July 2023



20

10

0

0

FRic

#### Table 5: Spearman correlation coefficients between species diversity and FD indices.

Functional diversity		Shannon Weiner	
components	Species richness (S)	diversity (H')	Evenness index (E)
FDp	0.70 ***	0.48***	0.40***
FRic	0.64 ***	0.51***	0.52***
FEve	0.41***	0.50***	0.37***
FDiv	0.33**	0.39***	0.33**
FDis	0.46***	0.63****	0.72***
CWM SLA	-0.36***	-0.56***	-0.51***
CWM WD	-0.036	-0.30**	0.028
CWM Hmax	0.42***	0.71***	0.59***
CWM SM	-0.55***	-0.86***	-0.57***



 $R^2 = 0.38$ , p = 4.8e-10

30

<u>ල</u> 20



#### **Carbon Stock Across Zonation of Yayu Coffee Forest Biosphere Reserve**

**Table 6:** Carbon stocks in various carbon pools across zonation in the case of YayuCoffee Forest Biosphere Reserve.

Carbon needs (Ma Charl)	Forest zonation				
Carbon pools (Mg C na <sup>+</sup> )	Buffer	Core	Transitional	mean	
AGC	403.21±285.8a	525.42±28.7a	82.56±98a	368.06	
BGC	108.87±77.2a	141.86±76.3a	22.29±26.5b	99.38	
AGC+BGC	512.1±362.8a	667.28±358.9a	104.85±124.5b	467.44	
LC	0.97±0.5a	0.89±0.4a	0.75±0.3a	0.88	
SOC (0-30 cm)	96.96±76.6b	191.02±91.6a	76.73±25.8bc	133.6	
SOC (30-60 cm)	39.19±19.02c	76.48±74.7bc	31.41±18.8c	53.85	
SOC (0-60 cm)	128.38±82.9a	267.50±144.8b	115.92±32.5a	187.4	
Total carbon stock	641.42±370.8a	935.68±413.4b	221.52±122.9c	655.72	

5 July 2023



## **Relationships Between Biodiversity & AGC of the YCFBR**

Full mediation model of SEM showed that, S richness mediated through FD had a significant effect on AGC.
Partial mediation model revealed that, causal path from sps richness to AGC- direct effect of sps diversity.

5 July 2023

**Table 7:** Results of structural equation modeling done to evaluate the effects of species richness on aboveground carbon stock through functional diversity and functional dominance.

Predictor	Response var	iable	Partial med	liation model	Full mediation model			
		Est.std	SE	P value	Est.std	SE	P value	
S	FDiv	0.401	0.004	***	0.37	0.004	0.001	
S	FEve	0.62	0.004	***	0.56	0.004	* * *	
S	FRic	0.651	0.142	***	0.77	0.148	* * *	
S	FDis	0.737	0.011	***	0.82	0.011	***	
S	CWM.Hmax	0.578	0.154	***	0.66	0.159	* * *	
S	CWM.SLA	-0.361	0.913	0.017	-0.27	0.945	0.084	
S	AGC	0.57	26.642	0.081	-	-	-	
FRic	AGC	-0.156	20.068	0.466	0.04	14.801	0.814	
FEve	AGC	-0.07	469.221	0.666	-0.09	483.457	0.569	
FDiv	AGC	0.068	306.272	0.598	-0.03	266.738	0.815	
FDis	AGC	0.159	281.657	0.568	0.55	143.541	***	
CWM.SLA	AGC	0.114	1.761	0.379	0.13	1.757	0.293	
CWM.Hmax	AGC	0.143	10.616	0.34	0.29	7.788	0.014	
Fit statistics								
Chi-square =	61.885(p=0.00	<b>)</b>				Chi-square	= 63.789 (p=0.000)	
DF= 11				DF = 12				
SRMSR: 0.23	1				SRMSR: 0.2	23		
GFI: 0.883					GFI: 0.817			



Functional diversity

Functional dominance

+

## **Relationships Between Biodiversity and AGC of the YCFBR**

FDis: CWM.Hmax

Sites

Rsd.

Marg. R2

9.09

12.82

0.21

1.87

85.65



the standardized path coefficients. The green numbers represent coefficient of determination (R2). Blue arrows with blue color numbers represents residual errors to show correlations between each functions (E). Red arrows with numbers denote negative effect paths  $(-\beta)$  and black arrows with numbers denote +ve effect paths (β).

**Note:** The figures

on the lines are

.33

CWM.Hmax

13

Pr(>|t|)

5.2e-06

0.130

Results showed that FD & FDo significant predictors of AGC (21 %).

Random effects (variance)

Fixed effect





# **Effects of disturbance and environmental variables on AGC**

Factors		Estimate Std.Error ty	value Pr(> t )	
	(Intercept)	1260.41 389.603	.24 0.00175**	0.335
	Elevation	-0.61 0.24-2	2.59 0.01142*	
Topography	Slope	-5.55 2.75-2	2.02 0.04659*	
	c(Aspect)	-11.99 16.60-(	0.72 0.47195	
Disturbance level	Moderately distyurbed	207.77 91.042	.28 0.02507*	0.341
	Sligntly disturbed	334.80 105.783	.17 0.00218**	
	Low disturbed	352.24 106.683	.30 0.00142**	





#### Drivers of deforestation in Yayu Coffee Forest Biosphere Reserve.











## Major drivers of deforestation in YCFBR





# Conclusion

- Anthropogenic disturbance and elevational gradients are the most important factors influencing species and functional diversity in the YCFBR.
- Both selection effects and niche complementarity are important for AGC prediction.
- Conserving species diversity would be the alternative measures for maintaining higher AGC for climate mitigation in the case of YCFBR.
- Major drivers of deforestation in the YCFBR are agricultural farm land, over grazing, logging, coffee management, mining activities etc.



# Recommendations

- Core zone protection is highly essential to sustain high plant diversity,
- Mitigating anthropogenic disturbances in transitional zone.
- Zonation-based and communities' livelihood assessments to modify forest management to suit unique needs of each forest zone,
- Introducing PFM and promoting SFM in the area.
- Social and EIA before project implementation in the area
- Alternative livelihoods
- Awareness creation for local communities and
- top level government- to see our findings on the grounds (implementation)





## **Special Thanks and Acknowledgments:**



#### -Ilu Aba Bora Zonal and District administrators

21

#### -EEFCF

-Supervisors

5 July 2023



# GOD BLESS AFRICA AND ITS PEOPLE! Thank you all!

