



Sustainable Business Models for Tree based Value Chains in Sub-Saharan Africa:

The charcoal value chain: A threat or opportunity?

AFF Community of Practice on 30 November 2021

A Roos, L Mahamane, D Mutta, C Wekesa

AFRICAN FOREST FORUM (AFF), SWEDISH UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES (SLU), KENYA FORESTRY RESEARCH INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF NIAMEY







Wood fuel Industrial roundwood

Wood energy

- Biomass energy accounts for 14-15% of world's primary energy, and 70-90% primary energy supply in Africa.
- Woodfuel consumption per capita is 0.69m3 /year in Africa.
 Charcoal accounts for 17% wood energy and increasing





Charcoal is important for everyday life in Africa

- Charcoal production is the second largest consumer of wood in Eastern Africa:
 - Tanzania production 49 million m3;
 - Kenya 17.5 million m3;
 - Uganda 11 million m3;

(Côte d'Ivoire reported production 31,000 tons in 2014)

- Income source for producers, transporters, vendors etc.
 - Kenya earns US\$530 million/year, 2 million dependants;
 - Tanzania charcoal demand is at US\$500 million;
 - Southern Africa engages 602 966 charcoal producers
- Raw materials sourced from natural forests and woodlands.
 - Sustainable? Associated w/forest degradation; global warming, indoor pollution
- Alternative energy sources are not accessible; gas, kerosene, electricity
 - Implication: We need to combine production of charcoal that improves livelihoods

and is sustainable! –through SFM





Underlying trends ("megatrends")

- Informal economy
- Infrastructure
- Communication, ICT
- Economic growth and persistent poverty
- Education
- Urbanization (1% rise 14% consumption rise)
- **Demographic trends**
- Climate change











In-depth analyses (Kenya, Niger)

Inform scientific evidence-based development of sustainable supply chains

- 1. Map value chain structures, members, and processes
- 2. Identify value chain members' resources, competencies, and business strategies, and the institutional conditions for sustainable value chains
- 3. Identify improvement opportunities

FOCUS

- **1.** Actors along charcoal value chains in Sub-Saharan Africa
- 2. Resource-poor, women, and young adults
- 3. Tree-based products: wood energy from forests, agroforestry systems, "trees outside forests" etc.
- 4. Sustainability and livelihoods outcomes





Analyses

- Value chain structure, processes, participants
- Resources, capabilities, business environment
- Policy imperatives





Actors

	Household size					
Age	0-3	4-6	7-9	10-15	16-20	Total
range	children	children	children	children	children	
15-25	10,8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10,8%
years	10,870	078	078	078	078	10,870
26-35	17%	8%	4%	0,9%	1,7%	31,5%
years	1770	070	470	0,378	1,770	51,570
36-45	1,1% 11,6		6,3%	5,1%	2,6%	26,7%
years	1,170	11,0	0,370	5,170	2,070	20,770
46-55	0,3%	4,8%	7,1%	4,3%	1,1%	17,6%
years	0,370	4,070	7,170	4,370	1,170	17,070
56-65	0%	2,3%	3,4%	4%	1,1%	10,8%
years	070	2,370	3,470	170	1,170	10,070
66-75	0%	1,1%	1%	0,3%	0,3%	2,3%
years	0/0	1,1/0	1/0	0,070	0,070	2,370
76						
years	0%	0,3%	0%	0%	0%	0,3%
to	070	0,370	070	070	070	0,370
more						
Total	29%	28,4%	21,3%	14,5%	6,8%	100%

Women are more in the marketing-vending Women are a minority in production and transporting



Production areas and supply chains (Kenya)



Celebrating 10 years of commitment to Africa's forest and tree resources

wise use

sustainable

conservation



Value stream map



Celebrating 10 years of commitment to Africa's forest and tree resources

wise use

conservation

sustainable



Revenues



Employment for rural poor local communities

Customer satisfaction-pull Product: Shiny, black, heavy, right tree species Service: Location, communication Trust: Trustworthy in order delivery Price: Steady price, room for bargaining.



Income statement (Simplified)			
	Cost per bag Kes	Cost per	
	Cost per bag kes	year	
Net operating revenues	670	136010	
Cost of goods sold	100	20300	
Gross profit		115710	
Expense (tools, empty bags, accidents)*		6160	
Fees	10	4060	
Operating income	KSh	105490	
	USD	1049	
Income per work hour**	USD/h		0.50
Daily income per family member***	USD/(day,person)		0.96
*) Annualized costs; **) 2108 h/year; ***) Operator+3 children			







Charcoal income meeting the livelihood needs of rural poor

Alternative income source	Producers (%)	Transporters (%)	Vendors (%)
Shop	30	25	63
Farm	40	28	5
Food relief	4	0	0
Labourer (e.g. construction)	15	19	21
Hotel	4	0	5
Transport	4	28	3
Various	4	0	3



- Government forest
- Private forest
- Trust Land/community forestFrom
- Own land,
- 49% producers source woody material from own farmland
- 42% producers source woody material from community forests and trust lands
- 14% producers source woody material from private land
- 9% producers sources woody material from government land The supply of raw materials is declining

Resources, capabilities, competencies

	Production	Transportation	Marketing
Physical	 Woody material 	 Motorised and non- 	<mark>Premise,</mark>
resources	from forests and	motorised vehicle	charcoal
	<mark>trees</mark>	• 6-8 wheeled trucks namely	holding area
	Carbonisation site-	lorry and canter, 4-wheeled	Premise is
	physically close to	cars-Probox and van:	physically <mark>close</mark>
	source of raw	transport between trading	to customers
	materials	centres and towns. Rented	in residential
	Carbonisation	at a fee Kes13,000 per trip	areas; semi-
	ingredients: sand,	2- wheeled motorcycle:	permanent
	stones, grass, animal	between production site to	structures or
	dung,	roadside and loading sites	grocery shops
	Carbonisation tools-	accessed	
	axe 24%, jembe	by produced and the second sec	
	19%, panga 24%,	Ox/donk	
	shovel 1%, spade	bicycle K	
	11% sacks 20%, rake	Road net	
	1%, wheelbarrow	1,832.2	
	3%	and into	21/06/2018 17 49
	Equipment-earth	2,028 kn	4
	kiln		



Species utilised

Species utilised for charcoaling 18 16 14 12 10 8 Quality 6 Utilisation 2 0 Acacia Sechenganka pancinansi boztaicathoxy kakana cia drepanio lobium Grewia Deios states in the state of the stat chalybeum mespilifomis ChumkawkatkaaAkaacikaisobe **e cuivia**kovitale Di Mskovard **disiyale o** i**Dkalibæriski k**an Velae Nelaie leviau iN ∎**nhgidylela ti√iAkala/Cajanhæibhaib**hbielyn**hspenka**lge**o ka**nZdedn neri i Commiptionabilitigan had versus and the second seco adenioualestomegnistipuetanicadisticervia tembensinsespiliforminsoncheisaianoxyon fraxinifolius

- 19 indigenous and exotic species in ten families used for charcoal production -Taita Taveta, Kwale forests and farm lands, Leguminosae with five species (31%) highest number of species
- Most utilised (>15) mentions: Mchemeri A. nilotica, Mchirangombe C. hereroense, Mkone Grewia tembensis
- Quality species (10 mentions): Mchemeri, Mgololi A. drepanolobium, Mkone (10 mentions)



Technologies

2008 - 2018

	Production	Transportation	Marketing
Technologies	 Traditional earth mound kiln Communication technologies- 75-80% mobile telephony coverage: towns, along highways; hinterland are uncovered or limited coverage, Phone (communication&calculation 	 Communication technologies- 75-80% mobile telephony coverage: towns, along highways; hinterland are uncovered or limited coverage, Phone calculator mobile phone, calculator 	 Communication technologies: 75-80% mobile telephony coverage: towns. Phone calculator









Celebrating 10 years of commitment to Africa's forest and tree resources conservation sustainable wise use management

2008 - 2018

Formal education

Educational level

	Producers, transporters,
	Vendors
Apprenticeship -parents	25.8%
Apprenticeship neighbours	25.8%
Brother/sister	8.06%
Friends	12.9%
Association	4.8%
On the job/observing older generations	22.6%

Table. Education level Personal interviews				
	No	Primary	Secondary education	
	schooling	education		
Producers	24%	71%	5%	
Transporters	13%	70%	17%	
Vendors	6%	69%	21%	





Trade is accessible to population segment with little formal education Most important skills used-numeracy 97-83%, reading skills 72-67%



Impact of legal control of charcoal production on forests and livelihoods

Charcoal Regulations 2009, Forest Act to reduce deforestation and forest degradation. Charcoal ban in force in Kenya, Niger

Production: Decreased production, **increased illegal production**, **increase in price** of charcoal (Kes 600 to 1000)

Transportation: Volumes declined by 30-35% of recognised CPAs. Transportation– at night using on motorbikes. **External large scale entrepreneurs** have increased. **Imported quantities –** hard to quantify, but permits from Uganda being used to distribute charcoal in Mombasa

Marketing: Irregular supply, declining charcoal quality over burnt charcoal and powder or contaminations/adulteration. vendors sell less due to increased prices, from 20 to 3 bags/day; affecting the overall net income.

Consumer: Decreased consumption –At consumption level, prices have increased by 100% inconvenient for low income customers who buy in small quantities several times a week.Imported quantities – sometimes permits from Uganda being used to distribute charcoal in Mombasa. Ban has strained household budgets for both value chain actors and end users/customers.



Impact on vendors

Unable to pay school fees Breaking of marriages Inability to meet basic needs – food, housing and clothes Inability to pay medical bills













Celebrating 10 years of commitment to Africa's forest and tree resources conservation sustainable wise use management

2008 - 2018

Consumers' coping strategies at households level







Which way



Inclusive socio-economic development





THANK YOU https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdgs