Revised: 6 August 2020

ARTICLE

African Journal of Ecology 🔬 🛛 WILEY

Tree species composition and diversity in Miombo woodlands between co-managed and government-managed regimes, Malawi

Monica Fides Kalagho Gondwe^{1,2} | Coert Johannes Geldenhuys¹ | Paxie Wanangwa Chikusie Chirwa¹ | Emeline Sêssi Pélagie Assédé³ | Stephen Syampungani⁴ | Moses Azong Cho^{1,5}

¹Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

²Malawi College of Forestry and Wildlife, Dedza, Malawi

³Management of Natural Resources, Laboratory of Ecology, Botany and Plant Biology, University of Parakou, Parakou, Bénin Republic

⁴Department of Environmental and Plant Sciences, School of Natural Resources, Copperbelt University, Kitwe, Zambia

⁵Earth Observation group, Natural Resources, and Environment, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Pretoria, South Africa

Correspondence

Monica Fides Kalagho Gondwe, Malawi College of Forestry and Wildlife, Private Bag 6, Dedza, Malawi. Emails: mfgondwe@gmail.com; malawiforestrycollege@gmail.com

Funding information The African Forest Forum; Malawi Government

Abstract

Comparative information on the composition and diversity in tree species associations in Miombo woodland is limited. This study assessed how tree species associations across forest reserves of Miombo woodland in Malawi varied in composition and diversity concerning site factors and resource use disturbances under co-management versus government management. Eighty nested circular plots, randomly selected in ArcGIS, were sampled to record stem diameter at breast height (DBH) of tree species: 0.04 ha for stems 5-29.9 cm DBH and 0.16 ha for stems ≥30 cm DBH. The recorded 109 tree species grouped into communities and 14 sub-communities, using stem counts by species in TWINSPAN analysis. Sub-divisions to level 5 showed eigenvalues ≥0.3, symbolising the stability of sub-divisions. North/South sub-divisions related to site factors; historical/current resource use influenced differences at levels 3-5. Species importance differed, indicating few important species in each sub-community. Brachystegia and Julbernardia species showed importance across sub-communities while Uapaca sansibarica in government management. Disturbances stimulated high species diversity. Recommendations include the need for a policy review towards group-felling mature stands to stimulate regeneration and selective thinning of suppressed stems in stand development stages to maintain species diversity, productive recovery, diverse resource use value, and monitoring of harvesting impacts.

Résumé

Les informations comparatives sur la composition et la diversité des associations d'espèces d'arbres dans la forêt de Miombo sont limitées. Cette étude a évalué la façon dont les associations d'espèces d'arbres dans les réserves forestières des terres boisées de Miombo au Malawi variaient en termes de composition et de diversité par rapport aux facteurs de perturbations liés au site, en comparant l'utilisation des ressources sous cogestion et celle gérée par le gouvernement. Quatre-vingt parcelles circulaires imbriquées, sélectionnées au hasard dans ArcGIS, ont été échantillonnées afin d'enregistrer le diamètre des troncs à hauteur de poitrine (DHP) des espèces

d'arbres: 0.04 ha pour les troncs de 5 à 29.9 cm DHP et 0.16 ha pour les troncs ≥30 cm DHP. Les 109 espèces d'arbres enregistrées ont été regroupées en communautés et 14 sous-communautés, en utilisant le dénombrement des troncs par espèce dans l'analyse TWINSPAN. Les subdivisions au niveau 5 ont montré des valeurs propres ≥0.3, symbolisant la stabilité des sous-divisions. Les sous-divisions Nord/ Sud étaient liées aux facteurs du site; l'utilisation historique/actuelle des ressources a influencé les différences aux niveaux 3 à 5. L'importance des espèces différait, indiquant quelques espèces importantes dans chaque sous-communauté. Les espèces Brachystegia et Julbernardia étaient dominantes au sein des sous-communautés, tandis que l'espèce Uapaca sansibarica dominait en cas d'utilisation des ressources gérée par le gouvernement. Les perturbations ont stimulé une grande diversité d'espèces. Il est notamment recommandé de procéder à un examen de la politique d'abattage en groupe des peuplements matures pour stimuler la régénération et l'éclaircissage sélectif des troncs supprimés aux stades de développement des peuplements, afin de maintenir la diversité des espèces, le rétablissement productif, la diversité de la valeur d'utilisation des ressources et le suivi des impacts de l'exploitation.

KEYWORDS

co-management, government management, importance values, Malawi, Miombo woodland, species diversity, tree species composition

1 | INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of the variation in the composition of tree species associations of a Miombo woodland ecosystem can provide a baseline against which management impact can be measured. A forest inventory can provide such baseline ecological information to assess management impact on tree species dynamics (Geldenhuys, 2010; Matthews & Whittaker, 2015).

Different management regimes have been introduced for managing Miombo and also improving livelihoods in Malawi (Government of Malawi, 2005, 2016). They include community management of customary forests, government management of forest reserves (FRs), co-management of government-owned FRs, individual/household trees on farms, afforestation (private, estate, community), and community involvement in government plantations. The Forest Department is responsible for protecting government-managed FRs but has limited human and financial resources (Government of Malawi, 2010, 2016). Products have been illegally harvested from forest reserves in sub-Saharan Africa (Makero & Kashaigili, 2016; Chichinye et al., 2019; Gondwe et al., 2020). Co-management is an obligatory contract between the Forest Department and communities to legally use products according to a management plan (Government of Malawi, 2005). Effective and sustainable woodland management requires relevant policies, governance, participatory tools, capacity and knowledge (Senganimalunje et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). However, knowledge is lacking on how contractual agreements and management regimes impact forest

Highlights

- Tree species showed low similarity between management regimes due to site differences.
- Fabaceae (31%), in subfamilies Caesalpinioideae and Papilionoideae, were dominant.
- Species associations were driven by site differences and management regimes.
- Eigenvalues ≥0.3 showed stable sub-communities.
- Species rank importance distributions were related to stem abundance and diameters.
- Disturbances stimulated regeneration and enhanced species richness and evenness.

condition, tree species associations, common, rare and over-exploited tree species (Geldenhuys, 2014; Matthews & Whittaker, 2015).

Miombo woodland (Miombo) has important ecological functions (Kalaba et al., 2013; Pullanikkatil et al., 2018; Handavu et al., 2019). In Malawi, the livelihood of most poor rural people (85%) depends on woodlands (Government of Malawi, 2018; Munthali et al., 2019). Over-exploitation, degradation and deforestation, and limited knowledge on resource management (Rudel, 2013; McNicol et al., 2015) could lead to 'The tragedy of the commons' (Hardin, 1968, 1998) with negative impacts on such resources and the environment (Schwartz & Caro, 2003; Giliba et al., 2011). However, most Miombo species sprout (Geldenhuys et al., 2013; Syampungani et al., 2016); deforestation only occurs with de-rooting, and degradation being a temporary change in stand structure (Geldenhuys, 2010; Gondwe et al., 2020). Criteria for effective sustainable resource management include the use that does not negatively affect the resource base but should improve the regeneration status of harvested tree species (Geldenhuys, 2010; Vinya et al., 2011; Jew, 2016).

Several studies have assessed tree species composition of Miombo and Undifferentiated woodland (Mwakalukwa et al., 2014; McNicol et al., 2015; Chichinye et al., 2019). Site condition and disturbance-recovery processes underlie variation in the distribution and composition of tree species associations, but such information is poorly understood (Geldenhuys, 2010; Munishi et al., 2011) to support harvesting practices that mimic natural disturbance-recovery processes to which the vegetation is adapted in stimulating regeneration of common and rare species (Geldenhuys, 2010).

Different plot shapes and sizes have been used to record most tree species and sizes, that is rectangular (Chinangwa et al., 2017; Halperin, 2017) and nested circular plots (Geldenhuys, 2010; Chichinye et al., 2019). Syampungani et al. (2016) used plotless sampling to record a fixed number of stems (>30 stems) to cover regeneration and large trees of most species. Circular plots ease plot establishment and minimise sampling errors (Chichinye et al., 2019). Nested plots optimise reliable and cost-effective recording similar numbers of stems of different sizes of most tree species, with a larger plot for fewer larger stems versus a smaller plot for abundant smaller stems (Pearson et al., 2005). Chichinye et al. (2019) and Nyirenda et al. (2019) used nested circular plots of 0.01 ha, 0.04 ha and 0.2 ha, around the same mid-point, to, respectively, record regeneration counts (stems <5 cm DBH [stem diameter at 1.3 m above ground level]) and trees of 5.0–29.9 cm and \geq 30 cm DBH.

Classification and ordination techniques identify tree species associations based on the similarity-dissimilarity between component species (Assédé et al., 2012; Matthews & Whittaker, 2015; Chichinye et al., 2019). The ecological importance of species within associations is calculated as Importance Value Index (IVI) based on their relative frequency, density and basal area (Jew, 2016; Gonçalves et al., 2017; Chichinye et al., 2019). IVI is affected by the number and size of stems recorded, and the number of species included. Species abundance distributions (SADs) have been used to visually display the ranking of species within species associations (Magurran, 2004; Matthews & Whittaker, 2015). Jaccard Similarity Index has been used to calculate the percentage of shared species between two management regimes (Yue & Clayton, 2005; Chao et al., 2006). Such information is limited in comparing the effect of different resource management regimes (Bhadra & Pattanayak, 2016).

The objective of this study was to assess the variation in the composition of associations of Miombo tree species in terms of distribution, abundance and diversity, and effect of the species pool, site conditions, and land-use disturbances related to management regime on such variation. The study questions were as follows: (a) What

differences exist in tree species pools between northern and southern FRs in Malawi, and between FRs under co-management (CM) versus government management (GM)? (b) What are the main tree species associations and indicator species for the different identified communities and sub-communities? (c) How do site factors and land-use disturbances (CM versus GM regimes) drive the variation in tree species composition, distribution and diversity of the identified associations?

African Journal of Ecology 🦽—WII FY

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study areas

Four FRs of Miombo woodland in Malawi were purposively selected to compare the variation in tree species composition between CM and GM (Figure 1) (Hudak & Wessman, 2000; Banda et al., 2015; Kamangadazi et al., 2016):

- Northern Malawi: Kaning'ina GM; 11°27'S, 34°07'E; 1200–2000 mm rainfall/year; 15,000 ha, including some evergreen forest species (Banda et al., 2015) and Perekezi (CM in western part; 12°03'S, 33°37'E; 760–1270 mm rainfall/year; 15,370 ha), both gazetted as FRs in 1935;
- Southern Malawi: Thambani (GM; 15°41'S, 34°27'E; 1042– 1269 mm rainfall/year; 10,670 ha) and Liwonde (CM; 15°06'S, 35°24'E; 840–960 mm rainfall; 34,175 ha), respectively, gazetted in 1927 and 1924.

Historically, all FRs were gazetted to conserve biodiversity and protect fragile woodland and water catchments (Government of Malawi, 1996, 2016). CM of FRs started in 1999. Both management regimes have been subjected to wood extraction, and Liwonde and Kaning'ina include patches of cultivation (Figure 2) (Government of Malawi, 2010).

2.2 | Sampling design, plot establishment, and data collection

A 500-m grid superimposed over each FR, using Google Earth and ArcGIS, was used to randomly select 20 intersections as sampling points accessible parts of each FR (total of 80 plots; Figure 1) and were located in the field using a GPS 62sc.

Nested circular plots were used, with a large plot (0.16 ha; radius 22.6 m) to record stems \geq 30 cm DBH, and the main plot (0.04 ha; radius 11.28 m) to record stems 5.0–29.9 cm DBH. All stems were recorded by species and DBH. It was assumed that trees with 5 cm DBH could indicate the regeneration. A Taxonomist from the National Herbarium, Zomba, Malawi, identified all the species in the field, using 'Trees of Malawi' (Binns, 1972). Observed disturbances such as tree cutting, charcoal production and fire were recorded for each plot.



FIGURE 1 Location of the studied forest reserves, Kaning'ina and Perekezi in northern Malawi, and Thambani and Liwonde in southern Malawi. Sampled plots are indicated as dots. The eastern part of Perekezi was excluded from the study

2.3 | Data analysis

2.3.1 | Tree species composition/pool of forest reserves

All the tree species were listed by their botanical names, family, species code, and the total number of stems recorded on plots in each FR (Appendix A). Species generally forming part of Afromontane evergreen forest were indicated. Species codes used in all analyses were abbreviations of botanical names in a standardised format (Geldenhuys, 2005). Author names of species are only indicated in Appendix A, following the Royal Botanic Garden lists (Brummitt & Powell, 1992) supplemented with updates listed in Van Wyk et al. (2011) and Burrows et al. (2018).

Jaccard Similarity Index was calculated to compare the shared species listed in Appendix A, between CM and GM FRs in the North and South, using formula 1 (Chao et al., 2006):

$$Sj = a/(a + b + c)$$
 (1)

Sj = Jaccard Similarity Index (%); a = species count in CM and GM, b = species count in CM, c = species count in GM. An index value of \geq 50% was considered high.

A rare species in this study was based on an arbitrary total count of ≤ 4 stems recorded on all plots across the 4 FRs in Appendix A.

2.3.2 | Classification of tree species associations

Data from the two nested plots per sample point were pooled to use all stems \geq 5 cm DBH as stem counts per species per plot in a Two-Way INdicator SPecies ANalysis with TWINSPAN 2.3 (Hill & Šmilauer, 2005), following procedures of Chichinye et al. (2019) and Nyirenda et al. (2019). Ten plots were not used in the analysis; 5 plots had no DBH data; and 5 had \leq 2 stems. A species x plot matrix with stem counts of all recorded tree species was condensed with CANOCO 4.5 (Cornell condensed format Windows version 2.3 program package). The TWINSPAN analysis used pseudo-species cut levels of 0, 2 and 5 (1 = 1–2, 2 = 3–5, 3 = >5 stems per species



FIGURE 2 Examples of resource use within the different forest reserves, impacting on the condition of co-managed (CM) and government-managed (GM) Miombo woodland, Malawi. (a) Cutting trees for timber, and later for fuel wood in Kaning'ina (GM); (b) Clearing of trees in patches to grow maize in Liwonde (CM); (c) Two stages of woodland recovery in Liwonde, showing good sprouting of different tree species in crop fields, and development towards regrowth woodland in the background; (d) Confiscated off-loaded illegally cut stems in Thambani (GM); (e) Stems in Perekezi (CM) ready for making charcoal; (f) A charcoal kiln in Perekezi with an insert of mature charcoal.

per plot). Eigenvalues ≥0.3 and the identified indicator species were considered ecologically important (Hill, 1979).

2.3.3 | Tree species importance values and their ranking across sub-communities

The IVIi for species *i* in each sub-community was calculated as:

$$IVIi = (RFi + Rdi + RBAi)/3$$
(2)

where RFi (relative frequency of species i) was calculated as:

$$RFi = 100 \times Fi/TF$$
(3)

where Fi is the number of plots (frequency) in which species *i* is present, and TF is the sum of all frequencies for all species.

Rdi (relative density of species i) was calculated as:

$$Rdi = 100 \times di/Td$$
(4)

where di is the total number of stems of species *i*, and Td is the total number of stems of all species; RBA*i* (relative basal area of species *i*) was calculated as:

$$RBAi = 100 \times BAi/TBA$$
(5)

where BA*i* is the total basal area of species *i*, and TBA is the total basal area of all species.

Ranked importance distribution curves (RIDCs) (Matthews & Whittaker, 2015) plotted the calculated IVI (as a percentage) for each species against its rank (highest to lowest IVI) within selected sub-communities. RIDCs are a combination of the frequency, abundance and tree size (calculated as basal area) of each species across sub-communities (Table 1). Only 1 to 3 top-ranked species in tables have been inserted in graphs to demonstrate stem abundance and mean DBH in CM and GM sub-communities.

2.3.4 | Tree species diversity

Ranked importance distribution curves have also been used to determine tree species diversity (Matthews & Whittaker, 2015) in the identified sub-communities. Species richness was regarded as the number of species in a sub-community. The species ranking demonstrates the abundance of each species. The curves, flatness and steepness explain the species distribution (evenness or no evenness) in CM and GM sub-communities.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Tree species composition/pools across forest reserves

The 109 recorded tree species belong to 38 families, 87 species in GM FRs (Kaning'ina 58, Thambani 52), and 69 in CM FRs (Perekezi 45, Liwonde 43) (Appendix A). The largest families in this study (number

6

 $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{Y}$ –African Journal of Ecology \mathbf{A}

TABLE 1 Grouping of plots (columns) based on the distribution and abundance of tree species (rows), into 14 sub-communities, showing differences between co-managed (CM) and government-managed (GM) regimes

Region Managem	ent	SS cg	SSSSSSS cgggggg	SSSSSSSSSS ccccccccc	SSSSSS cccccg	ssss gggg	NSSSSN gggcgg	NNNN	NNNNNNN	NNNNN	NNNS 9999	NNNNN	NNNN 9999	NNNN 9999	N g
Disturbance Plot Numbers		 CS 51 10	CISSISS 4159111 2062051	CISSSCCCCI 4333444543 1768058265	SCSSSI 054451 633405	 ISSI 2011 2415	gc.g IISISI 210313 790250	CCCC IIII 1333 8706	CCCCCCCC ISSIIISS 22322303 70589133	CCCCC IISSS 34030 90741	ggg. 11IS 1142 5905	gcggg IS1II 13535 78496	9999 GIIS 3443 6133	9999 11II 2344 8096	g I 5 1
		. 0	.2759		9	27	712				565.	035	4810	1564	
Brid	cat		2	311-1	1				2						-
Flac	ind	-3		11									1		-
Dalb	nya	1-	22	-1211-33	2										-
Dios	kir			32311											-
Olax	obt			2-2122											-
Term	ser	-3	1	32-12121		1					2				-
Brac	bus		-333223	32-31331	2-1										-
Muna	ser	_1	2221-22	T			12		22		1_				_
Purk	afr	-1	_1_1_1_	12-		-22-	12				1-				_
Comb	ade	12	_1				1								_
Dipl	con		-3321	22-121-1	-23312	3121	2								_
Burt	nva		11			1	-2								_
Pter	ang		21231	1	1	321-	-22			2	1				_
Anno	sen	-1	1		2	23-2	1-2							1	-
Bauh	pet			11-2-	23-3	3-22									-
Comb	api	-1	1	1	3-3									1	-
Comb	zey				2	22-2	2					1			-
Dich	cin			1	2	12	2								-
Pter	rot				1	-2	2								-
Brac	boe			1	12	1-2-	-212		2		1				-
Stry	mad		1-	11	2-12-3				-2						-
Catu	oho			12			2							1-	1
Lann	dis		3121	21212-2-21	111-	-2	-11	2	23-222	22-					-
Xime	ame		11-	12			-1		-2						_
Dalb	nit		-122-3-	2	2	1		2222			-1-1		1		_
Julb	glo			-122	3	1	2-13		2	2-	2331				-
Cuss	arb				-1	11	2				1	-2			-
Uapa	kir		22			1						3		11	-
Uapa	nit		-2			1						21-		-1	-
Peri	ang			111-1		221-	312		2-32		1			2233	-
Brac	lon		21-2-	232221-	2	-113	231332	2-	33233333	23	-2				-
Eryt	liv						22		-22	2					-
Comb	mol					-1	12	3	-22-2	3-	1-			1	-
Brac	flo						11		2	33-2-		-2			_
Brac	man				1				33						_
Brac	uti			33			3		-33-3223	333	211-				-
Brac	mic							3-33							-
Brac	tax							33		3222-					-
Isob	ang									32-2-					-
Julb	pan					2		23	233323	333-3				1-	-
Mono	afr			11				32	3-2-2	-23		-2			-
Senn	pet								22		1				-
Ochn	sch		11					2	23	-22			2		-
Ficu	syc								2		-1			1	2
Faur	roc		1					2-	2 2	-2-22		-212-	22		-
raur Noor	Sdi esl							-23-				-2132	7	-22	12
Agar Anti	ven													-22-	
Harn	mad												1_		<u>+</u>
Psvc	mah													2-	3
Svzv	qui								2	2		-2	1-	-23-	_
Uapa	san									-333-	1-1-	33333	33	3333	1
Marg	dis						1				-21-			-2	3
Pari	cur		1				12	3		-33	32-3	2311-	21-2	123-	-
Brac	spi		2			122-	223	-23-	32-322-3	32323	3122	22-2-	23	3333	
Sub-com	munitv	1.1	1.21	1.22	2.11	2.12	2.2	3.11	3.121	3.122	3.2	4.111	4.112	4.12	4.2

Note: See Appendix A for complete species names of 8-digit species codes in column 3. Level of presence: No number = none, 1 = 1-2, 2 = 3-5, 3 = >5 stems plot⁻¹. The boxed lines highlight species groupings (see text). The following 23 species that occurred with ≤ 3 occurrences in 1 to 3 sub-communities, with no pattern, were deleted from the body of the table: Albizia versicolor, Allophylus africanus, Brackenridgea zanguebarica, Bridelia bridelifolia, B. micrantha, Craterispermum schweinfurthii Combretum collinum, Coptosperma neurophyllum, Dalbergia boehmii, D. melanoxylon, Ekebergia benguelensis, Erythrina abyssinica, Mangifera indica, Philenoptera bussei, Piliostigma thonningii, Psorospermum febrifugum, Rothmannia engleriana, Securidaca longepedunculata, Strychnos spinosa, Turraea nilotica, Vangueria infausta, Vernonia amygdalina and Vitex doniana.

Abbreviations: c, CM FRs (South); cc, CM FRs (N); Disturbance: C, Clear-felled; g, GM FRs (South); G, Grassland fires; gg, GM FRs (North); I, Intact; N, Northern FRs; S, Single-tree harvesting; S, Southern forest reserves (FRs).

of species between brackets) were Fabaceae (34, with 3 subfamilies, Caesalpinioideae (17), Papilionoideae (12), and Mimosoideae (5), Combretaceae (7), Rubiaceae (7) and Clusiaceae (4)). Twenty-seven families had only 1 species recorded each. Kaning'ina FR (GM) included 8 tree species that are associated with Afromontane evergreen forest (Appendix A). The 42 tree species recorded with ≤4 stems over all sampled plots were considered as rare: 20 species in Kaning'ina (GM North) with 4 evergreen forest species; 9 species in Perekezi (CM North); 11 species in Thambani (GM South); and 12 species in Liwonde (CM South).

The Jaccard Similarity Index of the number of shared species between CM FRs (23 unique species in North and 16 in South) and GM (36 unique species in North and 25 in South) was lowest in the North (27.2% of 81 species) than in the South (39.7% of 68 species), and for the combination of North and South (45.0% of 109 species).

3.2 | Classification of species associations

TWINSPAN grouped the sampled plots into 4 communities and 14 sub-communities based on similarity/dissimilarity of the number of stems of their species, up to level 5 sub-divisions. All species recorded on the 70 plots were included in the TWINSPAN table and subsequent analyses (IVIs and RIDCs), but 23 species with 3 or fewer occurrences over 1 to 3 sub-communities, with no clear pattern, were excluded to maintain the value of seeing the grouping, distribution and abundance of species driving the sub-divisions, across the identified sub-divisions on 1 page.

The blocked outlines highlight the grouping of key species determining the sub-divisions (Table 1). The middle horizontal block shows a small group of species occurring across the 4 identified communities, linking the northern and southern groupings. Most species in communities 1 and 2 (South) occur mainly in the upper left block (with further groupings between and within the 2 communities) while in communities 3 and 4 (North) most species occur mainly in the lower right block (with further groupings between and within the 2 communities). The strength of each sub-division, and eventual sub-communities, is determined by 1 or more species present in most stands of a sub-division, becoming indicator species for the specific sub-communities, indicated only by codes shown in Figure 2. For example, Diplorhynchus condylocarpon occurs in most stands of communities 1 and 2 (South) and Brachystegia spiciformis occurs in most stands in communities 3 and 4 (North), except in 4.2, causing the first sub-division at level 1; Pterocarpus angolensis and Dalbergia nitidula are indicator species for 1.21GM, and Terminalia sericea (stronger) and Pericopsis angolensis (weaker) are indicator species for 1.22CM. The upper, right block shows very few to no stems of relevant species occurring in most stands in the upper, left block. Similarly, the lower, left block (not outlined) shows few to no stems of relevant species occurring in the lower, right block.

The dendrogram shows the sub-division of communities into sub-communities at 5 levels, together with the eigenvalue (all >0.37,

-African Journal of Ecology 🔬—WILEY-

| 7

indicating stability) and indicator species at each sub-division (where relevant) (Figure 3). Sub-communities 1.1 and 4.2, with 1 or 2 plots with very few stems, were regarded as outliers and excluded, but their species were present within the other 12 sub-communities (where their species were present). The species in these plots were included in the species pools and the Jaccard Similarity Index.

3.3 | Tree species importance values and their ranking across sub-communities

IVIs of the 109 tree species varied considerably across sub-communities with 26 species showing a total IVI \ge 1.0 across the 12 sub-communities (Table 2a). Of the 83 species, 41 species have an IVI \ge 2.0 in at least 1 sub-community (Table 2b), and 42 species have IVI \le 2.0 in any of the sub-communities where they were present (Table 2c). Four species had a total IVI \ge 5: *B. spiciformis* (total IVI 8.7) showed IVIs of 5.6–26.3 in 9 sub-communities in CM and GM, mostly in the North. *B. longifolia* (total IVI 8.3) showed IVIs of 5.2–11.6 in 4 sub-communities of CM and GM, mostly in the South; *U. sansibarica* (total IVI 7.8) was absent from the South but showed high IVIs of 12.0–41.7 in 4 of the 5 sub-communities of presence in the North (3 GM). *B. utilis* (total IVI 5.6) showed IVIs of 6.6–16.6 in 2 sub-communities each in the South and North (CM and GM).

Several species showed a high IVI in 1 sub-community, with either medium to low IVI to absence in other sub-communities (Table 2a):

- Species with medium to high IVIs in CM and GM sub-communities are North and South: Brachystegia longifolia, B. spiciformis, and B. utilis; North: Uapaca sansibarica; South: Bauhinia petersiana, Brachystegia boehmii, B. bussei, Julbernardia globiflora, and Pseudolachnostylis maprouneifolia.
- Species with medium to high IVIs in CM sub-communities: North: Brachystegia floribunda, B. manga, B. microphylla, B. taxifolia, Dalbergia nitidula, Isoberlinia angolensis, Julbernardia paniculata, Monotes africanus, and Syzygium guineense; South: Combretum apiculatum, Diospyros kirkii, Lannea discolor, Senegalia galpinii, Strychnos madagascariensis, and Terminalia sericea.
- Species with medium to high IVIs in GM sub-communities: North: Agarista salicifolia, Faurea saligna, and Parinari curatellifolia; South: Annona senegalensis, Combretum zeyheri, Diplorhynchus condylocarpon, Erythrina livingstoniana, Garcinia smeathiana, Pericopsis angolensis, and Pterocarpus angolensis.

The RIDCs show a sharp decline in relative importance up to ranks 2–3 (depending on sub-community) while a more gradual decline is observed for ranks 8–12, and then a levelling out with many species with very low relative importance. The table inserted within each community shows the species ranked 1–3 in each sub-community, the relationship between IVI value (in the graph), stem number and mean stem diameter (calculated from total basal area of all stems) (Figure 4).



FIGURE 3 Dendrogram of the TWINSPAN sub-division to level 5 of plots (stands) sampled in 4 co-managed and government-managed forest reserves in Miombo woodlands in northern and southern regions of Malawi. Eigenvalue and number of plots involved are shown at each sub-division. Indicator species (maximum 2) are indicated for each branch of a division. Plot codes in boxes below sub-community names indicate the following: Co-management (c = plots in South, cc = plots in North); Government management (g = plots in South; gg = plots in North); Disturbance level is indicated as I = Intact, S = Selectively harvested, C = Clear-felled, G = Grassland fires. See Appendix A for the full names of species. Number at end of species code = level of presence by stem density of indicator species: 1 = 1-2 stems/plot, 2 = 3-5 stems/plot, and 3 = >5 stems/plot

3.4 | Tree species diversity

Ranked importance distribution curves show a similar pattern with inverted J-shaped species distributions for all sub-communities with relatively flatter curves with high species richness and evenness in CM and GM sub-communities. Most CM and GM sub-communities have 28–34 species with high evenness (shorter distance between two adjacent species). In the South, sub-communities showed high species richness and evenness. In communities 3 and 4 (North), the lowest species richness occurs in 3.11CM and 4.111GM (each 15 species) and 4.112GM (18 species) with low evenness showing a strong decline (steep curve means 1 species is more dominant than others) from species rank 1–6. The dots represent species.

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Tree species composition/pools across forest reserves

The 109 recorded tree species varied in presence and abundance within each FR. Sharing of species was low (27.2% of 81 species) between Kaning'ina (GM) and Perekezi (CM) in the North, but

relatively higher (39.7% of 68 species) between Thambani (GM) and Liwonde (CM) in the South. The study is in line with the observation by Nyirenda et al. (2019) who reported low tree species similarity between GM FR's and communal Malawian Miombo woodland. GM FRs, North and South had more non-shared species than CM FRs. Differences in species pools between FRs are attributed to differences in annual rainfall and landscape physiography. Sampling in Kaning'ina covered the eastern (moister) side of the ridge and at Perekezi the western (drier) side of the ridge. Topographical features in sampled parts differed between Thambani and Liwonde (section 2.1; Figure 1). Differentiation between dry and wet Miombo is based on annual rainfall (Frost et al., 2003) and anthropogenic disturbances. Therefore, the presence of several Afromontane evergreen forest tree species in Kaning'ina FR (Appendix A) may be attributed to higher rainfall, cooler slopes, and lower human disturbances (most plots were relatively intact; Table 1, Figure 3). Wooded grassland developing into the evergreen forest via woodland due to the protection of timber and fruit plantations against fire has been observed in South Africa (Geldenhuys & Venter, 2002). However, resource use may have contributed to lower species pools in CM FRs than in GM FRs (North and South) (Figure 2).

The high numbers of Fabaceae species 30 (27.5%) in CM and 25 (22.9%) in GM are dominated by subfamilies Caesalpinioideae

TABLE 2 Importance Value Index (IVI, as %) of each tree species across the identified sub-communities belonging to governmentmanaged (GM) and co-managed (CM) forest reserves

Species code (See	Sub-communities												
Appendix A for full names)	1.21 GM	1.22 CM	2.11 CM	2.12 GM	2.2 GM	3.11 CM	3.121 CM	3.122 CM	3.2 GM	4.111 GM	4.112 GM	4.12 GM	Total IVI
(a). Species with total IVI of ≥1.0 across all sub-communities													
Brac spi	2.7	-	-	5.8	9.1	5.6	1.3	12.7	16.2	8.2	8.3	26.3	8.7
Brac lon	5.2	8.6	4.8	11.6	2.5	2.4	2.3	3.7	5.2	-	-	-	8.3
Uapa san	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.0	3.9	41.7	27.1	16.5	7.8
Brac uti	-	6.6	-	-	9.5	-	16.6	1.2	7.4	-	-	-	5.6
Brac bus	34.6	2.2	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.6
Julb pan	-	-	-	2.7	-	5.1	1.6	15.8	-	-	-	1.8	4.1
Brac mic	-	-	-	-	-	41.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.9
Pari cur	0.8	-	-	-	2.6	2.9	-	3.4	11.6	1.7	6.2	5.3	2.9
Peri ang	-	2.4	-	7.1	6.3	-	2.8	-	1.9	-	-	1.3	2.6
Julb glo	-	5.3	3.7	2.0	5.5	-	0.9	1.0	2.3	-	-	-	2.5
Lann dis	4.2	5.0	3.8	1.5	1.8	2.2	4.5	2.0	-	-	-	-	2.5
Pseu map	8.0	8.8	-	2.8	2.5	-	1.4	-	1.4	-	-	-	2.2
Dipl con	5.1	4.4	1.2	6.4	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0
Brac tax	-	-	-	-	-	14.5	-	6.2	-	-	-	-	1.9
Faur sal	0.8	-	-	-	-	3.8	1.6	-	-	14.4	1.6	1.9	1.8
Brac boe	-	0.5	7.8	5.3	5.4	-	1.5	-	2.7	-	-	-	1.7
Mono afr	-	1.4	-	-	-	6.5	3.4	3.7	-	2.0	-	-	1.7
Pter ang	6.4	0.5	1.2	6.9	3.5	-	-	1.4	1.3	-	-	-	1.6
Agar sal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.1	5.3	1.5
Brac flo	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	0.9	9.0	-	2.9	-	-	1.5
Eryt liv	-	-	-	-	5.5	-	3.2	3.7	-	-	-	-	1.4
Dalb nit	4.5	1.2	1.4	1.0	-	6.7	-	-	2.6	-	1.5	-	1.2
Brac man	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	1.2
Bauh pet	-	1.9	7.8	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0
Comb mol	-	-	-	0.9	1.9	2.3	2.2	1.6	1.3	-	-	1.2	1.0
Faur roc	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	0.8	3.4	-	4.5	3.9	-	1.0

(b). Species (41) with total IVI < 1.0, but with IVI \ge 2.0 in at least one sub-community, indicated with IVI value and in which sub-community (between brackets): Albi ant 2.2 (3.11 CM), Albi ver 2.2 (2.11 CM), Allo afr 2.3 (2.2 GM), Anno sen 2.0 (2.2 GM), 6.7 (2.12 GM), Anti ven 2.5 (4.12 GM), AphI the 3.6 (4.112 GM), Brac zan 2.2 (4.12 GM), Brid bri 3.0 (3.2 GM), Brid cat 2.6 (1.22 CM), Burk afr 2.4 (1.21 GM), 3.6 (2.12 GM), Burt nya 3.3 (1.21 GM), Comb api 9.3 (2.11 CM), Comb col 2.2 (2.12 GM), Comb zey 6.3 (2.12 GM), Copt neu 2.5 (1.21 GM), Cuss arb 2.0 (2.12 GM), Dalb nya 2.7 (1.21 GM), 2.9 (2.11 CM), 4.3 (1.22 CM), Dich cin 2.7 (2.12 GM), Dios kir 6.8 (1.22 CM), Dios zom 2.9 (3.2 GM), Garc sme **12.6** (4.112 GM), Garc buc 2.1 (3.2 GM), Isob ang 5.4 (3.122 CM), Mang ind 3.4, (3.2 GM), Marg dis 3.7 (3.2 GM), Mund ser 2.0 (1.21 GM), Ochna sch 2.5 (3.122 CM), Olax obt 3.3 (1.22 CM), Pedd afr 3.8 (4.112 GM), Phil bus 3.2 (2.11 CM), Pili tho 2.0 (2.2 GM), Pter rot 2.5 (2.12 GM), Roth eng 2.1 (3.2 GM), Sene gal 6.8 (2.11 CM), Stry mad 9.1 (2.11 CM), Syzy cor 2.6 (4.12 GM), 2.6 (3.121 GM), Syzy gui 5.0 (4.12 GM), Term ser 2.8 (3.2 GM), 5.2 (1.22 CM), Turr nil 2.8 (2.11 CM), Uapa kir 2.0 (1.21 GM), 2.1 (4.111 GM). 2.9 (4.12 GM), Uapa nit 4.2 (4.111 GM)

(c). Species (42) with total IVI < 1.0, and all IVI values < 2.0 in all sub-communities were present: Anis nat, Apod dim, Azan gar, Brid mic, Catu obo, Comb ade, Comb mos, Crat sc, Dalb boe, Dalb mel, Domb rot, Ekeb ben, Eryt aby, Eryt ema, Euca ter, Ficu syc, Flac ind, Frie obo, Garc hui, Gymn bux, Haru mad, Mult cra, Neob afr, Ormo kir, Ozor ins, Prot pet, Psor feb, Psyc mah, Rapa mel, Secu Ion, Senn pet, Steg ara, Sten kun, Stry spi, Swar mad, Vach amy, Vang inf, Vern amy, Vite don, Xime ame, Zahn afr and Zizi muc.

Note: Species are arranged by total IVI values, in descending order (IVI \ge 10 = high (indicated in bold), 5.0-9.9 = medium, 2.0-4.9 = low, 0.1-1.99 = very low).

and Papilionoideae (Palgrave, 2002; Van Wyk et al., 2011; Burrows et al., 2018), dominating broad-leaved Miombo and undifferentiated woodlands, with subfamily Mimosoideae dominating fine-leaved woodlands, an indication of their adaptive potential in the area. The

listed 9 Brachystegia species, 2 Julbernardia species, and Isoberlinia angolensis are diagnostic species of Miombo woodland (White, 1983).

The 42 tree species recorded with ≤4 stems over all sampled plots (Appendix A) are not all rare or threatened. Individual species



FIGURE 4 Rank importance distribution curves (RIDCs) for tree species within each sub-community of each community, allowing comparisons between co-managed (CM) and government-managed (GM) forest reserves with Miombo woodland, Malawi. The table inside each community diagram shows the top-ranked 3 species, with the number of stems and mean DBH for the selected tree species in each sub-community. The number of species in each sub-community is shown in legend after the name of each sub-community

could be naturally rare, or have been over-utilised, under-sampled, or may be sporadically present outside their natural habitat, or are becoming established because of changed conditions. Each species with low abundance needs to be assessed to identify the truly rare species and which of those are threatened by uncontrolled use.

4.2 **Classification of species associations**

The sampled stands of tree species under different environmental factors and land-use disturbances grouped into species associations, with sub-divisions showing eigenvalues ≥ 0.3 in management regimes (Figure 3). This suggests that species associations of the sub-communities are ecologically important and stable (Hill, 1979). The observation confirms the findings of earlier studies that Miombo is a resilient and stable woodland ecosystem (Syampungani et al., 2016; Gonçalves et al., 2017). Indicator species of identified sub-communities (Figure 3) support field observations that one or more species are dominating each stand, despite utilisation intensity.

Level 1 and 2 sub-divisions separating stands into communities 1 and 2 (South) and communities 3 and 4 (North) are attributed to different species pools associated with differences in rainfall and landscape physiography (section 4.1). Such variables, though not considered in the design of the study, may override the influence of the two management regimes. In the South, each community contains stands from both Liwonde (CM) and Thambani (GM). In the North, Community 3 included 17 CM and 4 GM stands, and Community 4 included 13 GM stands and one CM stand. The little overlap and differences in species composition between FRs at the community level (Table 1) may be attributed to site differences (Munishi et al., 2011). This suggests that the species pool and site variation need to be considered in assessing the impact of management regimes in the Miombo.

The first management-based sub-division was in the North at level 3 (Figure 2), with Community 3 separating into 3.1CM (with indicators J. paniculata and L. discolor) and 3.2GM (with indicators J. globiflora and P. curatellifolia). The abundant presence of the latter two species related to good regeneration after clear-felling Miombo, withstands in recovery stages after former intensive utilisation, like higher densities of Brachystegia species in 3.11CM, 3.121CM and 3.122CM (Table 1).

In the South, the first management-based sub-division was at level 4 (Figure 3), splitting into 1.21GM (indicators Pterocarpus angolensis and D. nitidula) and 1.22CM (indicators T. sericea and Pericopsis angolensis). The 4 species are used for timber and poles, but they all regenerate well after woodland clearing. Their higher abundance in some GM stands could relate to resource use disturbances before gazettement. Currently, canopy closure may impede

African Journal of Ecology 🔬—WILEY

their regeneration (Chichinye et al., 2019) as these are light-demanding species. Sub-community 2.1 sub-divided into 2.11CM (indicator species *Swartzia madagascariensis*) and 2.12GM (indicator species *Brachystegia spiciformis* and *Pericopsis angolensis*). The 3 indicator species show relatively low abundances.

Community 4 sub-divided at level 3 into 4.1GM (abundant *U. sansibarica*) and 4.2GM (with several evergreen forest species). The frequent high abundance of *U. sansibarica* in 4.1 sub-communities suggests young to intermediate regrowth after historical heavy resource use (Chidumayo, 1997; Lowore, 1999). Pure and mixed stands of *U. sansibarica* occurred in former abandoned cultivated and settlement areas, as evidenced by old ridging, and cemeteries. Field observations indicated that *U. sansibarica* regenerates from seed in small gaps, thus supporting observations at Dedza, Malawi, of its high stump mortality (Lowore, 1999). The presence of several evergreen forest species was discussed in section 4.1. Typical Miombo species are evenly distributed in Community 4, such as *Pericopsis angolensis* dominant in 4.12GM, and *B. spiciformis* across all sub-communities.

Most species in southern stands (CM and GM FRs) show no to a limited presence in the North (CM and GM FRs), and the same applies to species in the North (Table 1). For example, *Brachystegia boehmii* and *B. bussei* are limited to the South, *B. floribunda*, *B. manga*, *B. microphylla*, *B. spiciformis*, *B. taxifolia*, *B. utilis*, *Isoberlinia angolensis*, and *J. paniculata* are limited to the North, and *B. longifolia* and *J. globiflora* occur in South and North. The distribution patterns suggest that each species has specific ecological requirements, and their presence or absence may not relate to specific resource use impacts. No detailed information on-site variables were collected, which could have helped to identify the site requirements of different species. This is because the dominance of *Brachystegia* species mixtures with *Julbernardia* and/or *Isoberlinia* species and other associated species depend on site conditions (White, 1983; Chidumayo, 2013; Lupala et al., 2015).

4.3 | Tree species importance values and their ranking across sub-communities

Variation in IVIs (Table 2) needs to be interpreted using the frequency, abundance and tree size (calculated as basal area) of species across sub-communities (Table 1). This is demonstrated in stem number and mean stem DBH for species ranked 1 to 3 with RIDCs (Figure 4). Species vary in their importance in different stands; Figure 4 lists 20 species that were ranked in the top 3 important species across the 12 sub-communities. Each species IVI needs a more detailed assessment to know whether the frequency of occurrence using Table 1, stem density, and/or tree size contribute to its impact in the stand. A high stem density of smaller stems can cause higher intra-specific competition and exclusion of other species. Many large trees may affect light conditions in the understory. For example, many *B. bussei* stems (36.8 cm mean DBH) dominate 1.21GM, with fewer, smaller stems for species ranked 2 and 3. Few, small stems (11.5–15.5 cm mean DBH) of *D. condylocarpon, C. apiculatum* and *S. madagascariensis* dominate 2.11CM, but 2.12GM and 2.2GM have large trees but they differ in stem number. Different *Brachystegia* and *Julbernardia* species mostly dominate Community 3 sub-communities, but the 3 CM sub-communities have smaller stems at high density, and the GM sub-community has a lower density of large trees. *U. sansibarica* has mostly small stems (<20 cm DBH) in 4.1GM sub-communities, with high stem numbers in 4.111GM (few larger stems of *Faurea saligna* and *P. curatellifolia*). Individual species may be associated with differences in site conditions (not studied), or strong sprouting response after cutting or stages of recovery after different intensities of disturbance (Geldenhuys, 2010). The higher density and ecological importance of several species in CM and GM subcommunities relate to stages of woodland recovery after historical and recent resource use (Geldenhuys, 2014; McNicol et al., 2015).

4.4 | Tree species diversity

The RIDCs relatively inverted J-shaped and flatter curves (CM, GM, South), and the more inverted J-shaped curves (CM and GM) (North) (Figure 4) show patterns in many natural multi-species communities. Some sub-communities, CM and GM (South, North) show high species richness and evenness with 1-3 ranked species showing relatively high abundance (Figure 4). These results are associated with early woodland recovery (section 4.3) following disturbances (Figure 2). Mostly illegal activities have created a conducive environment for the proliferation of many species. In the North, the RIDCs with steep inverted J-shapes and 1 to 6 ranked tree species in 3.11CM, 4.111GM and 4.112GM showed high abundance and dominance, indicating low species richness and evenness. This pattern is common in mature woodlands suggesting low disturbances (Figure 4). With most canopy species being intolerant of shade, only the faster-growing trees will remain in the canopy, and stems of other species become suppressed or die, and species become dormant. Many species would regenerate with the clearing of the stand with good light conditions. Group-felling as with slash-and-burn traditional cropping systems and charcoal production would stimulate abundant and diverse species regeneration (Figure 2c) as also shown by Syampungani et al. (2016) and Chichinye et al. (2019).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The differentiation of tree species associations based on the distribution, abundance and diversity of their species was influenced by available species, site factors, and recovery from resource use impacts under the 2 studied management regimes. Species similarity between management regimes was low. Additionally, species varied in importance in the identified communities and sub-communities. Site differences influenced the variation in the composition of the identified communities and sub-communities. Impacts of co-management and government management are important at levels at which resource users operate to harvest WILEY-

-African Journal of Ecology 🕝

timber, poles, firewood, and charcoal and cultivate crops. Species importance ranking emphasised that few important species differed between co-managed and government-managed sub-communities. However, *Brachystegia* and *Julbernardia* species were dominant across CM and GM sub-communities while *Uapaca sansibarica* dominated in the government-management regime. The high species diversity in most sub-communities is associated with disturbances. The information suggested that regeneration after historical and current intensive resource use facilitated the recovery of the harvested tree species. Miombo resilience and stability in disturbed and undisturbed areas could form the basis for combining the continued flow of products and services with maintaining tree species communities.

Information obtained emphasises the need for appropriate disturbances, rather than protection, to maintain tree species diversity while recovering under resource use. This requires a policy review to improve resource use management. Regeneration of most Miombo canopy species targeted for resource use needs some disturbance. This requires a management system that provides for group-felling of mature stands to stimulate regeneration with better light conditions, and selective thinning of suppressed, damaged and deformed stems in stand development stages. Such a system will maintain species diversity, productive woodland recovery, and sustainable production of poles and timber of different dimensions. This also needs monitoring of harvesting impacts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support to the first author from the Malawi Government Scholarship Programme and the African Forest Forum is acknowledged with thanks. We thank all who participated in this study. Special thanks are extended to anonymous reviewers who provided valuable feedback towards the improvement of this manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationships which may have inappropriately influenced them in authoring this article.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

M. F. K. Gondwe is a PhD student (University of Pretoria) responsible for research design, data collection, analysis and interpretation and wrote the manuscript. C. J. Geldenhuys contributed to data collection, guided the analysis and interpretation, and reviewed the manuscript. P. W. C. Chirwa and M. A. Cho contributed to the research conception and design and reviewed the manuscript. E. S. P. Assédé contributed to perfecting the methodology and data analysis and reviewed the manuscript. S. Syampungani contributed to drafting the manuscript and critically revised it.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are available within the article and/or its supplementary materials.

ORCID

Monica Fides Kalagho Gondwe D https://orcid. org/0000-0001-8052-8777 Coert Johannes Geldenhuys D https://orcid. org/0000-0003-4435-5375 Paxie Wanangwa Chikusie Chirwa D https://orcid. org/0000-0002-7544-973X Emeline Sêssi Pélagie Assédé D https://orcid. org/0000-0002-7228-0641 Moses Azong Cho D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4435-5375

REFERENCES

- Assédé, E. S. P., Adomou, A. C., & Sinsin, B. (2012). Secondary succession and factors determining change in soil condition from fallow to savannah in the Sudanian Zone of Benin. *Phytocoenologia*, 42, 181–189. https://doi.org/10.1127/0340-269X/2012/0042-0506
- Banda, W., Senganimalunje, T., & Missanjo, E. (2015). Community attitudes and perceptions towards management of kaning'ina forest reserve in Malawi. *Journal of Basic and Applied Research International*, 8, 34–40.
- Bhadra, A., & Pattanayak, S. K. (2016). Abundance or dominance: Which is more justified to calculate Importance Value Index (IVI) of plant species? Asian Journal of Science and Technology, 7, 3577–3601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.031
- Binns, B. (1972). Dictionary of plant names in Malawi. University of Malawi, Zomba: Government Printer.
- Brummitt, P., & Powell, C. E. (1992). Authors of plant names. A list of authors of scientific names of plants, with recommended standard form of their names including abbreviations, 21, Online ISSN: 1755-6260. Archives of Natural History. Retrieved from www.ipni.org
- Burrows, J. E., Burrows, S., Lötter, M. et al (2018). *Trees and shrubs Mozambique*. Cape town, South Africa: Publishing Print Matters.
- Chao, A., Chazdon, R. L., Colwell, R. K., & Shen, T.-J. (2006). Abundancebased similarity indices and their estimation when there are unseen species in samples. *Biometrics*, 62, 361–371. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1541-0420.2005.00489.x
- Chichinye, A., Geldenhuys, C. J., & Chirwa, P. W. C. (2019). Land-use impacts on the composition and diversity of the Baikiaea– Guibourtia-Pterocarpus woodlands of north-western Zimbabwe. Southern Forests: A Journal of Forest Science, 81(2), 2070–2639, https://doi. org/10.2989/20702620.2018.1531278
- Chidumayo, E. N. (1997). Miombo ecology and management: An introduction. University of Michigan, United States of America: Intermediate Technology Publications Ltd (ITP).
- Chidumayo, E. N. (2013). Forest degradation and recovery in a miombo woodland landscape in Zambia: 22 years of observations on permanent sample plots. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 291, 154–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.031
- Chinangwa, L. L., Pullin, A. S., & Hockley, N. (2017). Impact of forest co-management programs on forest conditions in Malawi. *Journal* of Sustainable Forestry, 36, 338–357. https://doi.org/10.1080/10549 811.2017.1307764
- Frost, P. G. H., Timberlake, J., & Chidumayo, E. N. (2003). Miombomopane woodlands and grasslands. In R. Mittermeier, C. Goettsch, P. Mittermeier, P. Robles, G. Gil, T. Fonseca, J. Brooks, J. Pilgrim & W. Konstant (Eds.), *Wilderness: Earth's last wild places* (pp. 183–204). University of Chicago Press.
- Geldenhuys, C. J. (2005). *Sustainable Resource Use*. Stellenbosch, South Africa: Water Affairs and Forestry, DANIDA, RAMBOLL.
- Geldenhuys, C. J. (2010). Managing forest complexity through application of disturbance-recovery knowledge in development of silvicultural systems and ecological rehabilitation in natural forest

systems in Africa. Journal of Forest Research, 15, 3–13. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10310-009-0159-z

- Geldenhuys, C. J. (2014). Sustainable use of Miombo woodlands: Simple silvicultural practices the key to sustainable use of Miombo fuel wood and poles (pp. 20-21). South Africa: SA Forestry Magazine.
- Geldenhuys, C. J., Sippel, W. E., & Sippel, E. (2013). Indigenous Woodland Management Training Manual Universal Leaf Africa. Forestry for smallscale farmers. South Africa: Universal Leaf Africa. WoFI International Holdings (PTY LTD). Nelspruit 1211.
- Geldenhuys, C. J., & Venter, S. (2002). Plant communities and biodiversity of the Limpopo Province forests: relevance and management options. Paper presented at the Multiple use Management of Natural Forests and Savanna Woodlands. Proceedings of Natural Forests & Savanna Woodland Symposium III.
- Giliba, R. A., Boon, E. K., Kayombo, C. J., Musamba, E. B., Kashindye, A. M., & Shayo, P. F. (2011). Species composition, richness and diversity in Miombo Woodland of Bereku Forest Reserve Tanzania. *Journal of Biodiversity*, 2, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/09766 901.2011.11884724
- Gonçalves, F. M. P., Revermann, R., Gomes, A. L., Aidar, M. P. M., Finckh, M., & Juergens, N. (2017). Tree species diversity and composition of miombo woodlands in south-central Angola: A chronosequence of forest recovery after shifting cultivation. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2017, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6202093
- Gondwe, M. F., Cho, M. A., Chirwa, P. W., & Geldenhuys, C. J. (2020). Land use land cover change and the comparative impact of co-management and government-management on the forest cover in Malawi (1999–2018). Journal of Land Use Science, 14(4-6), 1-25, https://doi. org/10.1080/1747423X.2019.1706654
- Government of Malawi (2010). Malawi State of Environment and Outlook Report Environment for Sustainable Economic Growth 2010. Lilongwe, Malawi: Environmental Department, Ministry of Natural Resources, Energy and Mining.
- Government of Malawi (1996). National Forest Policy of Malawi. Lilongwe, Malawi: Forestry Department, Ministry of Natural Resources.
- Government of Malawi (2005). Participatory Forest Management in Malawi: Standards and Guidelines. Lilongwe, Malawi: Forestry Department, Ministry of Environment, Energy and Mining.
- Government of Malawi (2016). *National Forest Policy of Malawi*. Lilongwe, Malawi: Forestry Department, Ministry of Natural Resources, Energy and Mining.
- Government of Malawi (2018). 2018 Malawi Population and Housing Census. Preliminary Report, Zomba, Malawi: National Statistics Office, Ministry of Health and Population.
- Halperin, J. J. (2017). Monitoring miombo woodlands of Southern Africa with multi-source information in a model-based framework. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Library University of British Columbia.
- Handavu, F., Chirwa, P., & Syampungani, S. (2019). Socio-economic factors influencing land-use and land-cover changes in the miombo woodlands of the Copperbelt province in Zambia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 100, 75–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.10.010

Hardin, G. (1968). The tragedy of the commons. Science, 162, 1243-1248.

- Hardin, G. (1998). Extensions of "The Tragedy of the Commons". *Science*, 280, 682–683.
- Hill, M. (1979). TWINSPAN-A FORTRAN program for arranging multivariate data in an ordered two-way table by classification of the individuals and attributes: Ecology and Systematics (pp. 90). Cornell University.
- Hill, M. O., & Šmilauer, P. (2005). TWINSPAN for Windows version 2.3. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology and University of South Bohemia.
- Hudak, A. T., & Wessman, C. A. (2000). Deforestation in Mwanza District, Malawi, from 1981 to 1992, as determined from Landsat MSS imagery. Applied Geography, 20, 155–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0143 -6228(00)00002-3
- Jew, E. K. K. (2016). Rapid land use change, biodiversity and ecosystem services in miombo woodland: Assessing the challenges for land

management in south-west Tanzania. London, UK: PhD, The University of Leeds, Available from http://worldcat.org/z-wcorg/database

African Journal of Ecology 🔬—WILE

- Kalaba, F. K., Quinn, C. H., & Dougill, A. J. (2013). The role of forest provisioning ecosystem services in coping with household stresses and shocks in Miombo woodlands, Zambia. *Ecosystem Services*, 5, 143– 148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2013.07.008
- Kamangadazi, F., Mwabumba, L., Missanjo, E., & Phiri, F. (2016). Selective harvesting impact on natural regeneration, tree species richness and diversity in forest co-management block in Liwonde Forest Reserve, Malawi. International Journal of Scientific Research in Environmental Sciences, 4, 47–54. https://doi.org/10.12983/ijsres-2016-p0047 -0054
- Liu, C., Liu, H., & Wang, S. (2017). Has China's new round of collective forest reforms caused an increase in the use of productive forest inputs? *Land Use Policy*, *64*, 492–510. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landu sepol.2017.03.011
- Lowore, J. D. (1999). Coppice regeneration in some miombo woodlands of Malawi (FRIM Report No.99001). Zomba, Malawi: Department for International Development. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk

Lupala, Z. J., Lusambo, L. P., Ngaga, Y. M., & Makatta, A. A. (2015). The land use and cover change in miombo woodlands under community based forest management and its implication to climate change mitigation: A case of southern highlands of Tanzania. *International Journal of Forestry Research*, 2015, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/459102

- Magurran, A. E. (2004). An index of diversity (2nd ed.). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Makero, J. S., & Kashaigili, J. J. (2016). Analysis of Land-Cover Changes and Anthropogenic Activities in Itigi Thicket, Tanzania. Advances in Remote Sensing, 5, 269–283, https://doi.org/10.4236/ars.2016.54021
- Matthews, T. J., & Whittaker, R. J. (2015). On the species abundance distribution in applied ecology and biodiversity management. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52, 443–454. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2664.12380
- McNicol, I. M., Ryan, C. M., & Williams, M. (2015). How resilient are African woodlands to disturbance from shifting cultivation? *Ecological Applications*, 25, 2320–2336. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-2165.1
- Munishi, P. K. T., Temu, R.-A.- P.- C., & Soka, G. (2011). Plant communities and tree species associations in a Miombo ecosystem in the Lake Rukwa basin, Southern Tanzania: Implications for conservation. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment*, *3*, 63–71.
- Munthali, M. G., Davis, N., Adeola, A. M., Botai, J. O., Kamwi, J. M., Chisale, H. L. W., & Orimoogunje, O. O. I. (2019). Local perception of drivers of land-use and land-cover change dynamics across Dedza District, Central Malawi Region. *Sustainability*, 11, 1–25. https://doi. org/10.3390/su11030832
- Mwakalukwa, E. E., Meilby, H., & Treue, T. (2014). Floristic composition, structure, and species associations of dry Miombo Woodland in Tanzania. ISRN Biodiversity, 2014, 1–15.
- Nyirenda, H., Assédé, E. P. S., Chirwa, P. W., Geldenhuys, C., & Nsubuga, F. W. (2019). The effect of land use change and management on the vegetation characteristics and termite distribution in Malawian Miombo woodland agroecosystem. *Agroforestry Systems*, 93(6), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-019-00358-8

Palgrave, K. C. (2002). Trees of Southern Africa. South Africa: Struik Pub.

- Pearson, T., Walker, S., & Brown, S. (2005). Sourcebook for land use, landuse change and forestry projects. United States of America: Winrock International and the BioCF.
- Pullanikkatil, D., Mograbi, P. J., Palamuleni, L., Ruhiiga, T., & Shackleton, C. (2018). Unsustainable trade-offs: Provisioning ecosystem services in rapidly changing Likangala River catchment in southern Malawi. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 22,* 1–20, https://doi. org/10.1007/s10668-018-0240-x
- Rudel, T. (2013). The national determinants of deforestation in sub-Saharan Africa. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 368, 20120405. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0405

Wiley-

African Iournal of Ecology 🖨

- Schwartz, M., & Caro, T. (2003). Effect of selective logging on tree and understory regeneration in miombo woodland in western Tanzania. African Journal of Ecology, 41, 75–82. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2028.2003.00417.x
- Senganimalunje, T., Chirwa, P. W., & Babalola, F. (2015). Potential of institutional arrangements for sustainable management of forests under co-management with local forest organisations in Mua-Livulezi Forest Reserve, Mtakataka, Malawi. International Forestry Review, 17, 340–354. https://doi.org/10.1505/146554815815982602
- Syampungani, S., Geldenhuys, C. J., & Chirwa, P. W. C. (2016). Regeneration dynamics of miombo woodland in response to different anthropogenic disturbances: Forest characterisation for sustainable management. Agroforestry Systems, 90, 563–576. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10457-015-9841-7
- Van Wyk, A. E., Van den Berg, E., Coates Palgrave, M., & Jordaan, M. (2011). Dictionary of names for southern African trees (pp. 957). Arcadia, South Africa: Briza Publications.
- Vinya, R., Syampungani, S., Kasumu, E., & Monde, C. (2011). Preliminary study on the drivers of deforestation and potential for REDD+ in

Zambia. Kitwe, Zambia: School of Natural Resources, Copperbelt University.

- White, F. (1983). The vegetation of Africa, natural resources research 20. United Nations Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Yue, J. C., & Clayton, M. K. (2005). A similarity measure based on species proportions. Communications in Statistics-theory and Methods, 34, 2123–2131. https://doi.org/10.1080/STA-200066418

How to cite this article: Gondwe MFK, Geldenhuys CJ, Chirwa PWC, Assédé ESP, Syampungani S, Cho MA. Tree species composition and diversity in Miombo woodlands between co-managed and government-managed regimes, Malawi. *Afr. J. Ecol.*2020;00:1–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> aje.12799

APPENDIX A

Tree species names, families, species codes, and the number of stems per species recorded in each sampled forest reserve (FR) under comanagement (CM) and government management (GM) in Miombo woodland in Malawi.

			Northern F	Rs	Southern FRs		
			GM	СМ	GM	СМ	
Species name	Family	Species code	Kaningina	Perekezi	Thambani	Liwonde	
Agarista salicifolia (Lam.) G.Don ^a	Ericaceae	Agar sal	44	-	-	-	
Albizia antunesiana Harms ^b	Fabaceae: Mimosoideae	Albi ant	-	4	-	-	
Albizia versicolor Welw. ex Oliv. ^b	Fabaceae: Mimosoideae	Albi ver	1	-	-	3	
Allophylus africanus P. Beauv. ^b	Sapindaceae	Allo afr	4	-	-	-	
Anisophyllea boehmii Engl.	Anisophylleaceae	Anis boe	3	2	-	-	
Annona senegalensis Pers.	Annonaceae	Anno sen	2	-	20	2	
Antidesma membranaceum Müll.Arg.	Phyllanthaceae	Anti ven	5	-	-	-	
Aphloia theiformis (Vahl) Benn.	Aphloiaceae	Aphl the	12	-	-	-	
Apodytes dimidiata E.Mey. ex Arn. subsp. dimidiata ^{a,b}	Icacinaceae	Apod dim	1	-	-	-	
Azanza garckeana (F. Hoffm.) Exell & Hillce. ^b	Malvaceae	Azan gar	-	2	-	-	
Bauhinia petersiana Bolle	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Bauh pet	-	-	22	12	
Brachystegia boehmii Taub.	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac boe	-	6	11	2	
Brachystegia bussei Harms	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac bus	-	-	46	55	
Brachystegia floribunda Benth.	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac flo	2	40	-	-	
Brachystegia longifolia Benth.	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac lon	8	144	28	18	
Brachystegia manga De Wild.	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac man	-	50	-	1	
Brachystegia microphylla Harms	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac mic	-	166	-	-	
Brachystegia spiciformis Benth.	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac spi	62	134	21	-	
Brachystegia taxifolia Harms	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac tax	-	82	-	-	
Brachystegia utilis Hutch. & Burtt Davy & Hutch	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Brac uti	6	182	-	19	
Brackenridgea zanguebarica Oliv. ^b	Ochnaceae	Brac zan	2	-	-	1	
Bridelia cathartica G.Bertol.f.	Euphorbiaceae	Brid cat	-	2	2	9	
Bridelia micrantha (Hochst.) Baill. ^b	Euphorbiaceae	Brid mic	-	2	1	-	
Bridelia bridelifolia (Pax) Fedde	Euphorbiaceae	Brid bri	3	-	-	-	
Burkea africana Hook.	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Burk afr	-	-	6	3	
Burttdavya nyasica Hoyle	Rubiaceae	Burt nya	-	-	5	-	

			Northern F	Rs	Southern FRs		
			GM	СМ	GM	СМ	
Species name	Family	Species code	Kaningina	Perekezi	Thambani	Liwonde	
Catunaregam obovata (Hochst.) A.E.Gonç.	Rubiaceae	Catu obo	4	-	-	4	
Combretum adenogonium Steud. Eex A. Rich. ^b	Combretaceae	Comb ade	1	-	1	-	
Combretum apiculatum Sond.	Combretaceae	Comb api	1	-	11	14	
Combretum collinum Fresen. ^b	Combretaceae	Comb col	-	-	3	-	
Combretum molle R.Br. ex G.Don	Combretaceae	Comb mol	2	24	2	-	
Combretum mossambicense (Klotzsch) Engl ^b	Combretaceae	Comb mos	-	-	1	-	
Combretum zeyheri Sond.	Combretaceae	Comb zey	3	-	12	-	
Coptosperma neurophyllum (S.Moore) Degreef	Rubiaceae	Copt neu	-	-	9	-	
Craterispermum schweinfurthii Hiern ^b	Rubiaceae	Crat sch	3	-	-	-	
Cussonia arborea Hochst. ex A.Rich.	Araliaceae	Cuss arb	1	4	2	1	
Dalbergia boehmii Taub. ^b	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Dalb boe	-	-	3	1	
Dalbergia melanoxylon Guill. & Perr.	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Dalb mel	-	-	5	-	
Dalbergia nitidula Welw. ex Baker	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Dalb nit	2	10	14	3	
Dalbergiella nyasae Baker f.	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Dalb nva	_	-	8	15	
Dichrostachys cinerea (LA.DC.) Wight & Arn.m	Fabaceae: Mimosoideae	, Dich cin	_	2	5	4	
Diospyros kirkii Hiern	Ebenaceae	Dios kir	_	-	-	20	
Diospyros zombensis (B.L.Burtt) F.White ^b	Ebenaceae	Dios zom	3	-	_	_	
Diplorhynchus condylocarpon (Müll, Arg.) Pichon	Apocynaceae	Dipl con	2	-	28	28	
Dombeva rotundifoliaus (Hochst.) Planch ^b	Sterculiaceae	Domb rot	_	2	_	_	
Ekebergia benguelensis Welw, ex C.DC. ^b	Meliaceae	Ekeb ben	2	2	_	_	
Erythring abyssinica Lam. ex DC. ^b	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Ervt abv	2	_	_	_	
Erythring livingstoniana Baker	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Ervt liv	_	14	3	_	
Erythroxylum emarginatum Thonn. ^b	Ervthroxylaceae	Ervt ema	2	_	_	_	
Eucalyptus tereticornis Sm ^b	Myrtaceae	Euca ter	_	-	_	1	
Faurea rocketiana (A.Rich.) Chiov. ex Pic.Serm.	Proteaceae	Faur roc	8	18	_	_	
Faurea saligna Harv.	Proteaceae	Faur sal	22	14	1	_	
Ficus sycomorus L.	Moraceae	Ficu svc	3	4	_	_	
Flacourtia indica (Burm f.) Merr. ^b	Flacourtiaceae	Flac ind	1	-	-	2	
Friesoldielsia obovata (Benth.) Verdce. ^{a,b}	Annonaceae	Frie obo	1	-	_	_	
Garcinia buchananii Baker. ^b	Clusiaceae	Garc buc	3	-	-	_	
Garcinia buillensis Welw. ex Oliv ^b	Clusiaceae	Garc hui	1	-	_	_	
Garcinia smeathmannii (Planch, &Triana) Oliv	Clusiaceae	Garc sme	35	-	-	_	
Gymnosporia buxifolia (L.) Szyszyl ^{a,b}	Celastraceae	Gymn bux	_	-	_	1	
Harungana madagascariensis Lam ex Poir ^a	Clusiaceae	Harumad	6	_	_	-	
Isoberlinia angolensis (Welw. ex Benth.) Hoyle &	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Isob ang	-	18	-	-	
Julbernardia globiflora (Benth.) Troupin	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Julb glo	23	6	11	8	
Julbernardia paniculata (Benth.) Troupin	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Julb pan	1	150	3	_	
Lannea discolor (Sond.) Engl	Anacardiaceae	Lann dis	-	26	9	22	
Mangifera indica Lipp	Anacardiaceae	Mangind	7		_		
Margaritaria discoidea (Baill.) G.L. Webster	Funhorbiaceae	Margidis	12	-	_	_	
Monotes africanus Welw & Kirk ex A DC	Dipterocarpaceae	Mono afr	_	58	_	2	
Multidentia crassa (Hiern) Bridson & Verds b	Rubiaceae	Multora	_	20	_	_	
Mundulea sericea (Willd) A Chev	Fabaceae: Panilionoideae	Mund ser	_	-	4	1	
Neohoutonia africana (Mill Ara) Prainv ^b	Funhorbiaceae	Neob afr	2	_		-	
Ochna schweinfurthiana F Hoffm	Ochnaceae	Ochn sch	2	20	1	1	
	e c. maccac	Jenn Jen	-	20	-	-	

			Northern F	Rs	Southern F	Rs
			GM	СМ	GM	СМ
Species name	Family	Species code	Kaningina	Perekezi	Thambani	Liwonde
Olax obtusifolia De Wild.	Olacaceae	Olax obt	-	-	-	10
Ormocarpum kirkii S. Moore ^b	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Ormo kir	-	2	-	-
Ozoroa insignis Delile ^b	Anacardiaceae	Ozor ins	-	-	-	1
Parinari curatellifolia (Planch. ex. Benth.)	Chrysobalanaceae	Pari cur	28	28	10	-
Peddiea africana Harv.ª	Thymelaeaceae	Pedd afr	8	-	-	-
Pericopsis angolensis (Baker) Meeuwen	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Peri ang	21	12	11	4
Philenoptera bussei (Harms) Schrire ^b	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Phil bus	-	-	-	2
Piliostigma thonningii (Schumach.) Milne-Redh. ^b	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Pili tho	1	-	2	-
Protea petiolaris (Engl. ex Hiern) Baker & C.H.Wright ^b	Proteaceae	Prot pet	-	1	-	-
Pseudolachnostylis maprouneifolia Pax	Phyllanthaceae	Pseu map	2	4	20	41
Psorospermum febrifugum Spach. var. ferrugineum (Hook. f.)	Clusicaceae	Psor feb	-	-	7	-
Psychotria mahonni C.H.Wright ^a	Rubiaceae	Psyc mah	22	-	-	-
Pterocarpus angolensis DC.	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Pter ang	-	2	28	3
Pterocarpus rotundifolius (Sond.) Druce	Fabaceae: Papilionoideae	Pter rot	-	-	5	1
Rapanea melanophloeos (L.) Mez ^{a,b}	Myrsinaceae	Rapa mel	1	-	-	-
Rothmannia engleriana (K.Schum.) Keay	Rubiaceae	Roth eng	3	2	1	-
Securidaca longepedunculata Fresen.	Polygalaceae	Secu lon	2	-	5	-
Senegalia galpinii (Burtt Davy) Sieigler & Ebinger ^b	Fabaceae: Mimosoideae	Sene gal	-	-	-	1
Senna petersiana (Bolle) Lock	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Senn pet	-	4	1	-
Steganotaenia araliacea Hochst. ^b	Apiaceae	Steg ara	-	-	1	-
Stereospermum kunthianum Cham. ^b	Bignoniaceae	Ster kun	-	-	-	1
Strychnos madagascariensis Poir.Lam	Strychnaceae	Stry mad	-	4	10	8
Strychnos spinosa Lam. ^b	Strychnaceae	Stry spi	-	-	3	1
Swartzia madagascariensis Desv. ^b	Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae	Swar mad	-	-	-	1
Syzygium cordatum Hochst. ex C.Krauss	Myrtaceae	Syzy cor	1	14	-	-
Syzygium guineense (Willd.) DC.	Myrtaceae	Syzy gui	10	8	-	-
Terminalia sericea Burch. ex DC.	Combretaceae	Term ser	-	-	5	18
Turraea nilotica Kotschy & Peyr.	Meliaceae	Turr nil	-	-	6	1
Uapaca kirkiana Müll. Arg.	Phyllanthaceae	Uapa kir	7	-	5	-
Uapaca nitida Müll. Arg.	Phyllanthaceae	Uapa nit	6	-	4	-
Uapaca sansibarica Pax	Phyllanthaceae	Uapa san	216	106	-	-
Vachellia amythethophylla (Steud. ex A.Rich.) Kyal. & Boatwr. ^b	Fabaceae: Mimosoideae	Vach amy	-	-	1	-
Vangueria infausta Burch. ^b	Rubiaceae	Vang inf	-	-	2	-
Vernonia amygdalina Delile ^b	Asteraceae	Vern amy	1	-	2	-
Vitex doniana Sweet ^b	Lamiaceae	Vite don	3	-	-	-
Ximenia americana L.	Olacaceae	Xime ame	-	2	3	3
Zanha africana (Radlk.) Exell ^b	Sapindaceae	Zanh afr	-	4	-	-
Ziziphus mucronata Willd.	Rhamnaceae	Zizi muc	_	14	_	_
Grand total stems			642	1,397	430	349
Number species			58	45	52	43

^aIndicates a species that generally form part of Afromontane evergreen forest.

 $^{\rm b}$ Indicates a species with ≤4 stems in total on all sampled plots.